

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9
10 UniRAM TECHNOLOGY, INC, No C-04-1268 VRW
11 Plaintiff, ORDER
12 v
13 TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR
14 MANUFACTURING COMPANY
15 Defendant.
_____ /

16 On October 1 and October 2, 2007, both parties submitted
17 letters alerting the court that the final judgment entered herein
18 on September 25, 2007, (see Doc #545) was entered in error. Doc
19 ##565-567. Accordingly, that judgment is hereby SET ASIDE and
20 VACATED. See FRCP 60(b); Kingvision Pay-Per-View Ltd v Lake Alice
21 Bar, 168 F 3d 347, 351 (9th Cir 1999).

22
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24
25 

26 VAUGHN R WALKER
27 United States District Chief Judge
28